| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|---|
| | 10/052,898 | SAFFO, RICHARD E. |
| Notice of Allowability | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Gary Hartmann | 3671 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS I herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3 | S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate common RIGHTS. This application is the second control of the | n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS |
| 1. This communication is responsive to | | |
| 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-19</u> . | | |
| 3. The drawings filed on 16 January 2002 and 31 October 2 | 2003 are accepted by the Exa | miner. |
| 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hat 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. | ve been received. ve been received in Application documents have been receive " of this communication to file | on No d in this national stage application from the |
| 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which g | mitted. Note the attached EXA ives reason(s) why the oath o | AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF r declaration is deficient. |
| 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") m (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examined Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the paper No./Mail Description of the paper No./Mail Date | erson's Patent Drawing Review er's Amendment / Comment of 1.84(c)) should be written on to the header according to 37 CF | r in the Office action of he drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d). |
| DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the department of attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMEN | T FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO | DLOGICAL MATERIAL. |
| Attachment(s) 1. ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☒ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No./Mail Date 4/8/2) 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material |) 6. ☐ Interview S Paper No./ 9/08), 7. ☐ Examiner's | formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | | Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671 |

Application/Control Number: 10/052,898 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-19, drawn to an apparatus and method of making, classified in class 404, subclass 118.
- II. Claim 20, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 404, subclass 72.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process could be practiced with a product not having the float connecting surface and pull connecting surface on opposite sides of an edge.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with George W. Dishong on April 20, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Invention I, claims 1-19. Claim 20 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 10/052,898

Art Unit: 3671

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the

Page 3

payment of the issue fee.

6. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with

Kathleen Chapman on April 30, 2004.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 1, line 2, "opposing" has been deleted and replaced with --adjacent--;

Claim 11, line 5, "opposing" has been deleted and replaced with --adjacent--;

Claim 20 has been canceled.

7. In the abstract:

line 7, "mounting means" (both occurrences) has been deleted and replaced with

--mount--;

8.

line 9, "means for vibration" has been deleted and replaced with --vibratory mechanism--.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: It is known to utilize

two blades (i.e., leveling and smoothing) with devices and include resilient connections and

pulling means therewith (Rouillard, U.S. Patent 4,861,188, for example). However, the

particular configuration of the edge formed between a float connecting surface and an opposing

pull connecting surface is not taught. Rouillard is typical of the configurations, in that the float

connecting surface and the pull connecting surface are the same (Figure 2, for example). There

is no teaching that would have motivated one skilled in the art to have configured Rouillard to

have positioned the connecting surfaces in opposition. Further, positioning the pull connecting

surface opposite the pull connecting surface would have resulted in a configuration in which the

Application/Control Number: 10/052,898

Art Unit: 3671

material scraped (Figure 1) would have accumulated about the connection with the pulling

Page 4

means, which may have potentially decreased the useful life span of the apparatus.

9. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gh

Gary Hartmann

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3671